Entanglement oscillations in open Heisenberg chains
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Abstract

We study pairwise entanglements in spin-half and spin-one Heisenberg chains with an open boundary condition, respectively. We find out that the ground-state and the first-excited-state entanglements are equal for the three-site spin-one chain. When the number of sites \( L > 3 \), the concurrences and negativities display oscillatory behaviors, and the oscillations of the ground-state and the first-excited-state entanglements are out of phase or in phase.
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1. Introduction

Recently, the study of entanglement properties in Heisenberg systems has received much attention [1–28]. Quantum entanglement is one of the most intriguing properties of quantum physics [29,30] and the key ingredient of the emerging field of quantum information theory and processing [31], and can be exploited to accomplish some physical tasks such as quantum teleportation [32].

In most of the previous studies on entanglement of many-body states, a periodic boundary condition is assumed for spin chains. On the other hand, spin chains with an open boundary condition (OBC) have been used to construct spin cluster qubits [33,34] for quantum computation and employed for quantum communication from one end to another [35,36]. Quantum open chain is also used in quantum state transfer [36–38], and perfect state transfer has been obtained via the open chain without requiring qubit coupling to be switched on and off [36]. These investigations reveal that open chains are of great advantage in implementing quantum information tasks. Thus the study of the entanglement structure in open spin chains will be of importance as the entanglement underlies operations of quantum computing and quantum information processing.

Most of the systems considered in precious studies are spin-half systems as there exists a good measure of entanglement of two spin halves, the concurrence [39], which is applicable to an arbitrary state of two spin halves. On the other hand, the entanglements in higher spin systems are not well studied due to the lack of good operational entanglement measures. Here, we will use the negativity [40,41] to investigate entanglement
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in spin-one systems. In this paper, by using the concept of concurrence or negativity, we study pairwise entanglement in spin-half and spin-one Heisenberg chains with an OBC, respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, firstly, we give exact results of the ground-state and first-excited-state pairwise entanglements for the three-qubit and four-qubit spin-half open Heisenberg model; and then, we present numerical results of the corresponding entanglements for the 5–10-qubit open Heisenberg model; finally, we investigate open boundary effects on thermal-state pairwise entanglement for 2–6-qubit Heisenberg model. In Section 3, we study pairwise entanglement in spin-one open Heisenberg chains. We conclude in Section 4.

2. Spin-half system

The Heisenberg Hamiltonian for the chain of \( L \) qubits with an OBC is given by

\[
H = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} J \left( 2S_i \cdot S_{i+1} + \frac{1}{2} \right),
\]

where \( S_i \) is the spin-half operator for qubit \( i \), \( \mathcal{S}_{i,i+1} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+1}) \) is the swap operator between qubit \( i \) and \( i+1 \), and \( \sigma_i = (\sigma_{ix}, \sigma_{iy}, \sigma_{iz}) \) is the vector of Pauli matrices. In the following discussion, we assume \( J = 1 \) (antiferromagnetic case).

Due to the SU(2) symmetry in our Hamiltonian, the concurrence quantifying the entanglement of two qubits is given by \cite{42,43}

\[
C_{ij} = \max \{ 0, -2(S_i \cdot S_j) - 1/2 \} = \max \{ 0, -\langle \mathcal{S}_{ij} \rangle \}, \tag{2}
\]

we see that the entanglement is determined by the expectation value of the swap operator.

In the three-qubit case, the Hamiltonian can be written as

\[
H = \mathcal{S}_{12} + \mathcal{S}_{23}
\]

\[
= 2(S_1 \cdot S_2 + S_2 \cdot S_3) + 1
\]

\[
= (S_1 + S_2 + S_3)^2 - S_1^2 - S_2^2 - S_3^2 - 2S_1 \cdot S_3 + 1
\]

\[
= (S_1 + S_2 + S_3)^2 - (S_1 + S_3)^2 - S_2^2 + 1, \tag{3}
\]

because \( (S_1 + S_2 + S_3)^2 \), \( (S_1 + S_3)^2 \) and \( S_2^2 \) commute with each other; we can use the standard angular momentum coupling theory to calculate all the eigenvalues of this system: firstly, \( S_1 \) couples with \( S_3 \), then they couple with \( S_2 \) again. The results are:

\[
E_0 = -1(2), \quad E_1 = 1(2), \quad E_3 = 2(4), \tag{4}
\]

where the number in the bracket denotes the degeneracy. The ground state is analytically given by

\[
|\Psi_{0}^{(1)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(|001\rangle - 2|010\rangle + |100\rangle),
\]

or \( |\Psi_{0}^{(2)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(|110\rangle - 2|101\rangle + |011\rangle) \),

and the first-excited state is

\[
|\Psi_{1}^{(1)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|001\rangle - |100\rangle),
\]

or \( |\Psi_{1}^{(2)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|110\rangle - |011\rangle) \).

Because the three-qubit Hamiltonian has an exchange symmetry, namely, the Hamiltonian is invariant after swapping qubits 1 and 3, \( \langle \mathcal{S}_{12} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{S}_{23} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle H \rangle = E/2 \). Thus, from Eqs. (2) and (4) the concurrence of two
qubits in the ground state is found to be
\[ C_{12}^0 = \frac{1}{2}, \]  
and for the first-excited state, the concurrence is
\[ C_{12}^1 = 0. \]  
We see that the ground state is an entangled state, whereas the first-excited state is not.

Now we consider the four-qubit case, the Hamiltonian is
\[ H = H_{12} + H_{23} + H_{34}, \]  
from which, we obtain all the eigenvalues of this system as follows:
\[ E_0 = -\sqrt{3}(1), \quad E_1 = 1 - \sqrt{2}(3), \quad E_3 = 1(3), \quad E_4 = \sqrt{3}(1), \quad E_5 = 1 + \sqrt{2}(3), \quad E_6 = 3(5). \]
Then, the ground state is
\[ | \Psi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24 + 12 \sqrt{3}}} \left[ |0011\rangle - (2 + \sqrt{3})|0101\rangle + (1 + \sqrt{3})|0110\rangle + (1 + \sqrt{3})|1001\rangle - (2 + \sqrt{3})|1010\rangle + |1100\rangle \right], \]  
and the first-excited state is
\[ | \Psi_1^{(1)} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8 + 4 \sqrt{2}}} \left[ |0001\rangle + (1 + \sqrt{2})|0010\rangle - (1 + \sqrt{2})|0100\rangle + |1000\rangle \right], \] \[ | \Psi_1^{(2)} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8 + 4 \sqrt{2}}} \left[ |0011\rangle - (1 + \sqrt{2})|0101\rangle + (1 + \sqrt{2})|1010\rangle - |1100\rangle \right], \] \[ | \Psi_1^{(3)} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8 + 4 \sqrt{2}}} \left[ |0111\rangle + (1 + \sqrt{2})|1011\rangle - (1 + \sqrt{2})|1101\rangle + |1110\rangle \right]. \]  
Thus, from Eqs. (2), (11) and (12), we get the concurrences of the ground state as
\[ C_{12}^0 = C_{34}^0 = \frac{3 + 2 \sqrt{3}}{4 + 2 \sqrt{3}} = 0.8660, \quad C_{23}^0 = 0, \]  
and for the first-excited state, the concurrences are
\[ C_{12}^1 = C_{34}^1 = 0, \quad C_{23}^1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{2 + \sqrt{2}} = 0.7071. \]
From the analytical results of the concurrences of the ground state and the first excited state, we observe that the concurrence oscillations emerge.

Then we calculate the nearest-neighbor concurrences for the cases \( L = 5–10 \) numerically, and the results are shown in Fig. 1. From Fig. 1, we see that the ground-state and the first-excited-state concurrences oscillate when the site index increases, and they are out of phase with each other when \( L > 3 \) (in the case of \( L = 10 \), the upward convex of the square line in the region of \( i = 1–3 \) and 7–9 is not so obvious). The reason of oscillatory behaviors is as follows: for spin 2, there is a competition between spins 1 and 3, and they both favor being maximally entangled with spin 2. If spin 2 shares a large entanglement with spin 1, then it is less entangled with spin 3, and vice versa. Thus the oscillatory feature appears.

Now, we study entanglement in the thermal state, namely, consider the finite-temperature case. The state of a system at thermal equilibrium is described by the density operator \( \rho(T) = \text{exp}(-\beta H)/Z \), where \( \beta = 1/k_B T \), \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann’s constant, which is assumed to be 1 throughout the paper, and \( Z = \text{Tr}[\text{exp}(-\beta H)] \) is the partition function. The entanglement in the thermal state is referred as thermal entanglement. Due to \( \langle \mathcal{S}\rangle = \text{Tr}[\mathcal{S} \cdot \rho] \), then from Eq. (2), the thermal concurrence quantifying the thermal entanglement is given by
\[ C_\mathcal{Y}(T) = \max\{0, -\text{Tr}[\mathcal{S}\cdot \rho(T)]\}, \]  
where
by using the above equation, the numerical results of the thermal concurrences for $L = 2 - 6$ are shown in Fig. 2. We can see that the thermal entanglements for even qubits are larger than those for odd qubits. At a fixed lower temperature, for even $L$ the thermal entanglements decrease as the number of qubits increases, whereas for
odd $L$, on the contrary, the entanglements increase as $L$ increases. As $L$ is very large, the effects of parity of $L$ vanish. The threshold temperatures $T_{\text{th}}$ are independent of the qubits’ number when $L$ is large, and when the qubits’ number is toward the infinity, they will approach a point, which is estimated as $T_{\text{th}} = 1.71658 - 1.71659$ K.

3. Spin-one system

The Heisenberg Hamiltonian for the chain of $L$ spins in spin-one system of an OBC is

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} J S_i \cdot S_{i+1},$$

where $S_i$ is the spin-one operator for spin $i$, $J$ is the exchange constant. Here we also set $J = 1$.

For the case of higher spins, a non-entangled state has necessarily a positive partial transpose (PPT) according to the Peres–Horodecki criterion [40]. In the case of two spin halves, and the case of $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ mixed spins, a PPT is also sufficient. However, in the two spin-one particles, a PPT is not sufficient in general. The two-spin state here displays a SU(2) symmetry, and for such a state, the PPT condition is necessary and sufficient [44,45]. The quantitative version of the criterion was developed by Vidal and Werner [41]. They presented a measure of entanglement called negativity that can be computed efficiently, and the negativity does not increase under local manipulations of the system. So, we may use negativity to exactly characterize the two-spin entanglement properties of our system.

For $L = 3$ case, we can write the Hamiltonian that

$$H = S_1 \cdot S_2 + S_2 \cdot S_3$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}[S_1 + S_2 + S_3]^2 - (S_1 + S_3)^2 - S_3^2],$$

using the similar method in the above section, all the eigenvalues of this system are directly obtained as

$$E_0 = -3(3), \quad E_1 = -2(1), \quad E_3 = -1(8), \quad E_4 = 0(3), \quad E_5 = 1(5), \quad E_6 = 2(7).$$

The ground state is obtained as

$$|\Psi_0^{(1)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{60}}(1002 + 6020 + |000\rangle - 3|011\rangle + 2|101\rangle - 3|110\rangle),$$

or

$$|\Psi_0^{(2)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{60}}(-2|012\rangle + 3|102\rangle + 3|120\rangle + 3|021\rangle + 3|201\rangle - 2|210\rangle - 4|111\rangle),$$

Fig. 2. The thermal concurrences between qubits 1 and 2 in the 2–6-qubit half-open Heisenberg chains.
(19) and the first-excited state is
\[ |\Psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (-|012\rangle + |102\rangle - |120\rangle + |021\rangle - |201\rangle + |210\rangle). \]  
(20)

In spin-one system, the negativity quantifying the pairwise entanglement is [46]
\[ N_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ 0, (S_i \cdot S_j) - 1 \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ 0, -(S_i \cdot S_j) \right\}, \]  
(21)

where \( S_{ij} = S_i \cdot S_j + (S_i \cdot S_j)^2 - I \) is the swap operator between the spin-one particles \( i \) and \( j \). For the Hamiltonian of \( L = 3 \), the spins 1 and 3 have an exchange symmetry which leads to \( \langle S_1 \cdot S_2 \rangle = \langle S_2 \cdot S_3 \rangle \) and \( \langle S_{12} \rangle = \langle S_{23} \rangle \), so we have \( N_{12} = N_{23} \).

Thus, from Eqs. (19) and (20) the expectation values of \( S_{12} \) and \( S_1 \cdot S_2 \) in the ground state are given by
\[ \langle S_{12} \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{6}, \quad \langle S_1 \cdot S_2 \rangle_0 = -\frac{3}{2}, \]  
(22)
and in the first-excited state, they are
\[ \langle S_{12} \rangle_1 = -1, \quad \langle S_1 \cdot S_2 \rangle_1 = -1. \]  
(23)

Then, from Eq. (21), we obtain the corresponding negativities as
\[ N_{12}^0 = 1/3, \quad N_{12}^{-1} = 1/3. \]  
(24)

From the above equation, we can see that the negativities in the ground state and the first-excited state are equal. When \( L > 3 \), the negativities in the ground state and the first-excited state are not equal, so this equality is a mathematical accident. In addition, because the reduced density matrices in the ground state and the first-excited state are different.

Numerically we calculate the negativities of \( L = 4 - 6 \), the results are given in Fig. 3. We can see that the negativities oscillate when \( L > 3 \), and the ground-state and the first-excited-state negativities are out of phase.
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with each other for \( L = 4 \) and 5 cases, but they are in phase for \( L = 6 \). Then from Fig. 1 and Fig. 3, considering the problem of the oscillatory phase of the ground-state and the first-excited-state entanglements, we find out that: on the edge of the Heisenberg chains, due to the effect of the boundary condition, the ground-state entanglements are biggest, thus the oscillatory phases of the ground-state entanglements are independent of the spins’ number and invariant; but for the first-excited-state entanglements, the entanglements on the edge are small when the spins’ number is not big, then because of the existence of the competition, the nearest entanglements will be large, thus the ground-state and the first-excited-state entanglements are out of phase with each other; along with the spins’ number increasing, the first-excited-state entanglements on the edge will be larger in contrast with the corresponding ground-state entanglements, which leads to the nearest first-excited-state entanglements diminishing, thus when the chain has enough spins, the ground-state and the first-excited-state entanglements are in phase.

Becase \( \langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle = \text{Tr}[(S_i \cdot S_j) \cdot \rho], \ (\langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle^2) = \text{Tr}[(S_i \cdot S_j)^2 \cdot \rho], \) then from Eq. (21), the thermal negativity quantifying the thermal entanglement is obtained as

\[
N_{ij}(T) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{0, \text{Tr}[(S_i \cdot S_j)^2 \cdot \rho(T)] - 2\} + \frac{1}{2} \max\{0, 1 - \text{Tr}[(S_i \cdot S_j) \cdot \rho(T)] - \text{Tr}[(S_i \cdot S_j)^2 \cdot \rho(T)]\},
\]

(25)

according to Eq. (25), we calculate the thermal negativities of \( L = 2–6 \) numerically, which are shown in Fig. 4. Comparing Fig. 4 with Fig. 2, we find out that the behaviors of thermal entanglement in spin-one system is similar to those in spin-half system, but the threshold temperatures in spin-one system are all smaller than those in spin-half system, and when the number of spins tends to infinity, they will approach a point which is in the region of \( T_{th} = 1.26753–1.26758 \) K.

4. Conclusion

In the above, we have studied pairwise entanglements in spin-half and spin-one Heisenberg chains with an OBC, respectively. Some analytical and numerical results of the ground-state and first-excited-state pairwise entanglements in the chains for several spin particles have been presented, and we find out some interesting results: the ground-state and the first-excited-state negativities are equal when \( L = 3 \); and when \( L > 3 \), the concurrences and negativities both oscillate, which results from the competition between the two spins on both sides of each spin. We also have given numerical results of the thermal-state pairwise entanglements in the chains for a few spins, the results reveal that the thermal entanglements in the two systems are similar and the threshold temperatures in the two systems are both independent of the spins’ number when the number is
large, and they will both approach a point when the number tends towards infinity. It is also interesting to study entanglement of highly exited eigenstates, which are under consideration.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by NSFC with Grant nos. 10405019 and 90503003; NFRPC with Grant no. 2006CB921206; Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (SRFDP) with Grant no. 20050335087.

References

   X. Wang, P. Zanardi, Phys. Lett. A 301 (2002) 1;